

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,020	10/16/2001	Daniel S. Kohane	0492611-0417 (MIT 8966) 5504		
24280 CHOATE, HA	7590 05/11/2007 LL & STEWART LLP		EXAMINER		
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			FUBARA, BLESSING M		
BOSTON, MA	. 02110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/981,020	KOHANE ET AL.		
Examiner	Aut Huit		
LAGITITIO	Art Unit		

	Blessing M. Fubara	1618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous that would require further continuous that the instance of the continuous that the instance of the continuous that the	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,7-20,23-25,27,28,30 and 37, 46, 47, 5 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21,22,26,29,31-36	vided below or appended.  58-65, 80, 84-91 and 96-98.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<del>, una 60 40</del> .						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered buseless Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1 does not recite or exclude synthetic polymer. The matrix of Bernstein contains lipids, proteins and sugars (claims 48-56; column 6, lines 18-21 and 59; column 4, lines 62-64). Bernstein exemplifies one specific embodiment in which PLGA is the biodegradable polymer. However, Bernstein teaches the use of other synthetic polymers as shown in column 3, line 45 to column 4 line 18; and the use of natural polymers and non-biodegradable polymers as disclosed in column 4, lines 21-39. column. The adverse findings on pages 52 and 54 are related only to PLGA and not to other polymers, biodegradable, non-biodegradable or natural.

(BF)

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER